

4. French Epirubicin Study Group. A prospective randomized trial comparing epirubicin monochemotherapy to two fluorouracil, cyclophosphamide and epirubicin regimens differing in epirubicin dose in advanced breast cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol* 1991, 9, 305-312.
5. O'Brian RM, Baker LH, Gottlieb JE, *et al.* Dose response evaluation of adriamycin in human neoplasia. *Cancer* 1977, 39, 1940-1948.
6. Focan C, Andrien JM, Closon MT, *et al.* Dose-response relationship of epirubicin-based first-line chemotherapy for advanced breast cancer: a prospective randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol* 1993, 11, 1253-1263.
7. Blomquist C, Elomaa I, Rissanen P, Hietanen P, Nevasaari K, Helle L. Influence of treatment schedule on toxicity and efficacy of cyclophosphamide, epirubicin and fluorouracil in metastatic breast cancer: a randomized trial comparing weekly and every-4-week administration. *J Clin Oncol* 1993, 11, 467-473.
8. Marschner N, Kreienberg R, Souchon R, *et al.* Evaluation of the importance and relevance of dose intensity using epirubicin and cyclophosphamide in metastatic breast cancer: interim analysis of a prospective randomized trial. *Semin Oncol* 1994, 21, 10-16.
9. Colajori E, Tosello C, Pannuti F, *et al.* Randomized multinational trial comparing epirubicin (EPI) 50 mg/mq vs 100 mg/mq in combination with 5-fluorouracil (5FU) and cyclophosphamide (CTX) as front line treatment of metastatic breast cancer (MBC). Abstr. ESMO Congress, Lisboa, 21 November 1994. *Ann Oncol* 1994, 5 (suppl. 8), 26, abstr. 126.
10. Fountzilas G, Skarlos D, Giannakakis T, *et al.* Intensive chemotherapy with high dose epirubicin every 2 weeks and prophylactic administration of filgrastim in advanced breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 1994, 30, 965-969.
11. Bronchud MH, Howell A, Crowther D, Hopwood P, Souza L, Dexter TM. The use of G-CSF to increase the intensity of treatment with doxorubicin in patients with advanced breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1989, 60, 121-125.
12. O'Shaughnessy JA, Denicoff AM, Venzon DJ, *et al.* A dose intensity study of FLAC (5 fluorouracil, leucovorin, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide) chemotherapy and *Escherichia Coli* derived granulocyte-monocyte-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) in advanced breast cancer patients. *Ann Oncol* 1994, 5, 709-716.
13. Ferguson JE, Dodwell DJ, Seymour AM, Richards MA, Howell A. High dose, dose-intensive chemotherapy with doxorubicin for the treatment of advanced breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1993, 67, 825-829.
14. Van Hoef HM, Baumann I, Lange C, *et al.* Dose-escalating induction chemotherapy supported by lenograstim preceding high-dose consolidation chemotherapy for advanced breast cancer. Selection of the most acceptable regimen to induce maximal tumour response and investigation of the optimal time to collect peripheral blood progenitor cells for haematological rescue after high-dose consolidation chemotherapy. *Ann Oncol* 1994, 5, 217-224.
15. Osborne CK, Sunderland MC, Neidhart JA, Ravdin PM, Abeloff MD. Failure of GM-CSF to permit dose-escalation in an every other week dose-intensive regimen for advanced breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* 1994, 5, 43-47.
16. Ogawa M. High dose epirubicin studies on metastatic breast cancer in Japan. In Abstr Satellite Symposium ESMO Congress: Intensive therapy for solid tumours: epirubicin. Lisboa, 21 November 1994, p. 16.
17. Scinto AF, Cercato MC, Botti C, *et al.* Phase II trial of high dose epirubicin and cyclophosphamide in advanced breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 1994, 30A, 1285-1288.
18. Ardizzoni A, Venturini M, Sertoli MR, *et al.* Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) allows acceleration and dose intensity increase of CEF chemotherapy: a randomized study in patients with advanced breast cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1994, 69, 385-391.

*European Journal of Cancer* Vol. 31A, No. 9, pp. 1551-1552, 1995.  
Elsevier Science Ltd  
Printed in Great Britain  
0959-8049/95 \$9.50 + 0.00

0959-8049(95)00235-9

## Non-cytotoxic CD4 Tumour-infiltrating Lymphocytes Induce Responses in Patients with Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Previously Treated with Interleukin-2

C. Mathiot, N. Thiounn, E. Tartour,  
T. Flam, C. Peyret, I. Joyeux, M. Zerbib,  
M. Brandely, B. Debré and W.H. Fridman

WE PREVIOUSLY reported the lack of therapeutic effect of tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) in patients with metastatic melanoma who have failed to respond to high-dose interleukin 2 (IL2) [1]. In order to test the ability of TIL to modify IL2 response in renal cell carcinoma (RCC), we treated, in a preliminary study, 6 patients. 4 patients had previously received high-dose IL2 and 2 had received a subcutaneous low dose. The characteristics of patients are summarised in Table 1, all were metastatic predominantly in lymph nodes and lung. Two types of response were observed: in 2 patients, who were partial responders to IL2 alone (patients 2 and 3), infusion of their TIL induced complete responses; in 2 other patients, whose tumour progressed after IL2 alone (patients 4 and 6), the infusion of TIL resulted in a stabilisation of the disease. These observations are in agreement with the theory proposed by Greenberg [2] of TIL efficacy when tumour burden is reduced. The second observation may also indicate that TIL may be efficacious without an IL2 effect, although this was only partial efficacy. The phenotype of these 4 patients was predominantly CD4, but with 30-40% of CD8-positive cells in 2 patients. More interestingly, the TIL exerted little or no cytotoxicity towards various targets, including autologous tumour (Table 1), whereas autologous cytotoxicity has been reported to be associated with response in melanoma [3]. This leads us to propose that other mechanisms, such as cytokine production by CD4+ T helper cells, are effective in RCC tumour control [4].

**Acknowledgements**—Research was supported by A.I.R.C. (Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro, Milano), by C.N.R. (Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Roma, Progetto Finalizzato Applicazioni Cliniche della Ricerca Oncologica, grant no. 92.02252.PF39), by I.R.C.C.S. (Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico) Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia and by MURST (Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica, Roma).

Correspondence to C. Mathiot.

C. Mathiot, E. Tartour, I. Joyeux and W.H. Fridman are at the Unité d'Immunologie Clinique, Institut Curie, 75231 Paris Cedex 05; N. Thiounn, T. Flam, C. Peyret, M. Zerbib and B. Debré are at the Département d'Urologie, Hôpital Cochin, 75679 Paris Cedex 14; and M. Brandely is at the Département d'Immunologie, Clinique Roussel Uclaf Sante, Romainville, France.

Revised 24 Feb. 1995; accepted 13 Apr. 1995.

Table 1. Patients' characteristics

Patient	IL2 dose before TIL	Delay IL2 TIL (weeks)	Cells injected ( $\times 10^{10}$ )	Phenotype (%) CD3/CD4/CD8/CD56	Cytotoxicity (E/T25/1) (%) K562/Raji/autologous	Status after IL2	Status 1 month after TIL	Status at 6 months	Status at 12 months
1	$48 \times 10^6/\text{m}^2/\text{w} \times 5\text{w}$	5	3.7	99/8/91/1	1/9/14	PD	PD	Dead	Dead
2	$48 \times 10^6/\text{m}^2/\text{w} \times 5\text{w}$	5	7.6	88/64/37/1	16/10/1	PR	CR	CR	CR
3	$48 \times 10^6/\text{m}^2/\text{w} \times 5\text{w}$	6	0.23	95/65/29/27	23/4/0	SD	PR	CR	CR
4	$48 \times 10^6/\text{m}^2/\text{w} \times 5\text{w}$	10	0.56	90/89/4/7	4.6/2/13	PD	SD	SD	SD
5	$9 \times 10^6/\text{d} \times 5\text{w}$	10	0.02	85/83/5/22	25/10/10	PD	SD	Dead	Dead
6	$9 \times 10^6/\text{d} \times 5\text{w}$	8	1.1	90/65/41/19	7/2/0	PD	SD	SD	NE

PD, progressive disease; SD, stable disease; PR, partial response; CR, complete response; NE, non-evaluable; d, day; w, weeks; IL2, interleukin-2; TIL, tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes.

1. Dorval T, Mathiot C, Brandely M, Escande MC, Fridman WH, Pouillart P. Lack of effect of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes in patients with metastatic melanoma who failed to respond to interleukin-2. *Eur J Cancer* 1992, **28A**, 615.
2. Greenberg PD. Adoptive T cell therapy of tumors: mechanisms operative in the recognition and elimination of tumors cells. *Adv Immunol* 1991, **49**, 281-385.
3. Schwartzentruber DJ, Hom SS, Dadmarz R, et al. *In vitro* predictors of therapeutic response in melanoma patients receiving tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and interleukin-2. *J Clin Oncol* 1994, **12**, 1475-1483.
4. Schoof DD, Terashima Y, Peoples GE, et al. CD4+ T cell clones isolated from human renal cell carcinoma possess the functional characteristics of Th2 helper cells. *Cellular Immunol* 1993, **150**, 114-123.

*European Journal of Cancer* Vol. 31A, No. 9, pp. 1552-1553, 1995.  
Copyright © 1995 Elsevier Science Ltd  
Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved  
0959-8049/95 \$9.50 + 0.00

0959-8049(95)00314-2

## Feasibility and Compliance of Epirubicin Plus Ambulatory Continuous Infusion Ifosfamide at Escalating Doses in Advanced Soft Tissue Sarcomas: a Phase I Study

R. Palumbo and S. Toma

ANTHRACYCLINES (doxorubicin or epirubicin) plus ifosfamide is the most widely used and effective combination in advanced and/or metastatic soft tissue sarcomas (STS). In determining the ideal combination of the two drugs, consideration has to be given to their specific toxicities, the need to use the maximum tolerable

dose, and the dose-response relationship for anthracyclines [1-4]; and, more recently, for ifosfamide [5, 6]. The use of continuous infusion (CI) has been confirmed as a valid option to avoid renal and neurological side effects, which appear to be the dose-limiting factors when high doses of ifosfamide are given [7-10]. Ambulatory CI has been shown to be feasible for patients with advanced, pre-treated disease, with good patient compliance [10].

In view of the above, we conducted a phase I-II study to define the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) of ifosfamide when given in association with a fixed dose of epirubicin, and the dose-limiting toxicities of the combination with at least two cycles of therapy, in a day-hospital regimen.

From December 1993 to July 1994, 17 adult patients with histologically confirmed advanced STS were studied. Epirubicin was given at a fixed dose of  $110 \text{ mg/m}^2/\text{course}$  ( $55 \text{ mg/m}^2$ , intravenously bolus, days 1 and 2) combined with ifosfamide administered by CI over 4 consecutive days, through a portable infusion pump connected to a subcutaneous port system. Total ifosfamide dose/course was escalated by  $1 \text{ g/m}^2$ , starting from  $9 \text{ g/m}^2/\text{course}$ , in cohorts of 3-5 patients until the MTD of the combination was determined. Equidose mesna uroprotection (by CI, days 1-5), and granulocyte-colony stimulating factor support ( $200 \mu\text{g/m}^2/\text{day}$ , subcutaneously, days 6-13) were provided in all patients; courses were repeated every 3 weeks. Toxicity was strictly monitored, as previously described [4, 10].

If 2 or more patients at any dose level developed grade 3 non-haematological or grade 4 haematological toxicity requiring treatment delay, or if 2 of the 3-5 patients did not complete the planned two courses of treatment due to poor compliance, the immediately preceding ifosfamide dose level was considered the MTD of the combination.

All patients completed the planned two courses of treatment; 2 patients received a third cycle and one had four courses. The toxicities observed during the total 37 courses are summarised for ifosfamide dose level in Table 1. No toxic death occurred and no patient required hospitalisation for treatment-related side effects.

At the  $11 \text{ g/m}^2$  ifosfamide dose level, haematological toxicity precluded further dose escalation, with 5/5 patients developing grade 4 leucopenia, that required a treatment delay of 1-2 weeks and transfusion support.

In combination with epirubicin at the fixed dose of  $110 \text{ mg/m}^2$ , ifosfamide at  $10 \text{ g/m}^2$  by CI with mesna uroprotection was identified as the MTD. At this dose level, haematological

Correspondence to S. Toma at the National Institute for Cancer Research-IST, V. Le Benedetto XV, No. 10, 16132 Genova, Italy. R. Palumbo is at the National Institute for Cancer Research-IST; and S. Toma is also at the Institute of Clinical and Experimental Oncology, University of Genova, Italy.

Received 12 Dec. 1994; accepted 31 May 1995.